Southern Ocean warming delayed by circumpolar upwelling and equatorward transport

Kyle C. Armour^{*,1}, John Marshall², Jeffery R. Scott², Aaron Donohoe³ & Emily R. Newsom⁴

¹School of Oceanography and Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seatte, WA 98195

²Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139

³Polar Science Center, Applied Physics Laboratory, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195 ⁴Department of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

The Southern Ocean has shown little warming over recent decades, in stark contrast to the rapid warming observed in the Arctic. Along the northern flank of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current, however, the upper ocean has warmed substantially. Here we present analyses of oceanographic observations and general circulation model simulations showing that these patterns – of delayed warming south of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current and enhanced warming to the north – are fundamentally shaped by the Southern Ocean's meridional over-turning circulation: wind-driven upwelling of unmodified water from depth damps warming around Antarctica; greenhouse gas-induced surface heat uptake is largely balanced by anomalous northward heat transport associated with the equatorward flow of surface waters; and heat is preferentially stored where surface waters are subducted to the north. Further, these processes are primarily due to passive advection of the anomalous warming signal by climatological ocean currents; changes in ocean circulation are secondary. These findings suggest the Southern Ocean responds to greenhouse gas forcing on the centennial, or longer, timescale over which the deep ocean waters that are upwelled to the surface are warmed themselves. It is against this background of gradual warming that multidecadal Southern Ocean temperature trends must be understood.

The surface of the Southern Ocean (SO), poleward of the ACC, has warmed by 0.02°/decade since 1950, while global-mean sea-surface temperature (SST) has increased by 0.08°/decade (Methods; Supplementary Fig. S1). Slow warming of the SO in response to greenhouse gas (GHG) forcing is also a ubiquitous feature of comprehensive general circulation model (GCM) simulations^{1–6}. Yet, both paleoclimate observations⁷ and GCMs⁴ show polar amplification in the Southern Hemisphere – with warming in the SO comparable to that in the Arctic – at millennial timescales. That is, SO warming emerges rather slowly, but may become substantial.

Delayed warming of the SO has been widely attributed to a large thermal inertia arising from storage of heat within very deep mixed layers^{1–5,7–9}. However, this link rests primarily on pioneering studies of climate change^{1,2} using early GCMs with crude representations of eddies and mixing that produced too much deep convection throughout the SO¹⁰ – suggesting that the role of vertical mixing has been overemphasized. Indeed, delayed SO warming robustly occurs within recent generations of GCMs that simulate more realistic convection¹⁰ and shallow SO mixed layers¹¹. Moreover, the deepest mixed layers don't coincide with regions of delayed warming but, instead, are found¹¹ within and just north of the ACC (40-50°S) where SSTs have been increasing

rapidly $(0.11^{\circ}/\text{decade since 1950})$.

Several other processes have also been suggested. Near Antarctica, where a persistent halocline exists, freshening of the upper ocean can decrease SSTs by weakening convection and vertical mixing, thus reducing the upward flux of heat from relatively warm waters at depth^{1,12–14}. A strengthening and poleward shift of the surface westerlies – driven by stratospheric ozone depletion¹⁵ – may also act to cool the region south of the ACC via enhanced advection of cold surface waters northward^{15–17}. Moreover, the SO sea-surface may be shielded from radiative forcing, either by extensive sea-ice cover¹⁸ or by increased low-cloud reflectivity via enhanced wind-driven emissions of sea spray¹⁹. In this study, guided by observations and a hierarchy of models, we find that while the above processes may play a role, the primary source of delayed SO warming is the background ocean circulation.

Substantial progress has been made in understanding the SO's meridional overturning circulation (MOC)²⁰, with its upwelling branch as a balance between wind-driven (Eulerian-mean, $\overline{\psi}$) and eddy-induced (ψ^*) advection: surface-wind stresses produce strong circumpolar upwelling south of the zonal-mean wind maximum (near 52°S), equatorward surface flow, and downwelling to the north; mesoscale eddy fluxes flatten the density surfaces that have been tilted by the winds, inducing a compensating circulation²⁰. The resulting, 'residual-mean' flow ($\psi_{res} = \overline{\psi} + \psi^*$) is a broad upwelling along sloped isopycnals and equatorward transport at the surface^{20,21} – evident in the transport of cold and fresh surface waters from the region of seasonal sea ice to subduction zones on the northern flank of the ACC (Fig. 1d). The SO's residual-mean MOC has become recognized as a key component of the global ocean circulation and climate system²⁰. Here, we show the MOC plays a similarly fundamental role in the SO's response to climate forcing.

Observed Southern Ocean warming in observations

Our observational analysis covers the period 1982-2012, for which both *in situ* and satellite observations of SSTs²² and sea-surface heat fluxes²³ (SHFs) are available, and ocean temperature measurements^{24,25} have reasonable coverage within the SO (Methods). Rapid surface warming occurs in zonal bands along the ACC's northern flank, with slower warming and cooling to the south (Fig. 1a). These SST patterns are mirrored by trends in zonal-mean ocean temperature and depth-integrated heat content (Figs. 1c,d); the greatest warming occurs in the vicinity of the ACC (40-50°S) – consistent with observed trends since the 1950s^{26,27}. This structure of ocean warming is robust across subsurface temperature datasets and ocean reanalyses, and is consistent with satellite altimetry measurements that show rapid sea-level rise in the vicinity of the ACC and little sea-level rise to the south^{28,29} (Figs. 1c and Supplementary Figs. S2-4).

The SHF observations are comprised of turbulent fluxes of sensible and latent heat estimated from bulk formulae, as well as surface radiation derived from satellite observations²³. Although these SHFs are limited in accuracy^{23,27} and spatial coverage (with no observations available under sea ice), they provide valuable insight into the causes of the observed changes. We see that regions that have warmed strongly have increasingly lost heat to the atmosphere, while regions that have warmed less (or cooled) have increasingly taken up heat (Figs. 1a,b). These SHF patterns primarily reflect trends in sensible and latent heat fluxes (Supplementary Fig. S5) which, in turn, have been

driven by changing air-sea temperature gradients: anomalous surface heat uptake has mainly occurred south of the ACC, where the atmosphere has warmed more rapidly than the ocean surface; anomalous surface heat loss has occurred in the vicinity of the ACC and to the north, where the ocean surface has warmed more rapidly than the atmosphere (Supplementary Fig. S6). That is, SHFs appear to have damped – not driven – the spatial pattern of SST trends.

Moreover, the spatial patterns of SHF and depth-integrated ocean heat content trends are largely opposed over the SO, with the regions of greatest (least) surface heat uptake showing the least (greatest) amount of heat storage (Figs. 1b,c and Supplementary Figs. S2-4). This suggests that meridional ocean heat transport (OHT) changes – rather than vertical heat redistribution or SHFs – have predominantly shaped the pattern of SO warming. Indeed, it appears that a portion of the heat taken up poleward of the ACC has been transported northward, instead of being stored locally, and converged along the ACC's northern flank. This mirrors the climatological northward transport and subduction of surface waters, consistent with the strong correspondence between the background MOC and the pattern of ocean warming (Fig. 1d).

These observations suggest that anomalous transport of heat by the MOC has damped warming south of the ACC and enhanced warming to the north. However, subsurface temperature observations are sparse over the SO, particularly south of the ACC^{26,30}, and uncertainties in SHF observations are substantial^{23,27} (Supplementary Fig. S7). Therefore, to quantitatively study the mechanisms driving delayed SO warming, we turn our focus to numerical climate model simulations.

Delayed Southern Ocean warming in climate models

We first consider the ensemble of comprehensive GCMs participating in phase 5 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project³¹ (CMIP5) driven by historical radiative forcing (Methods). The CMIP5 models broadly capture the observed changes over 1982-2012, with little surface warming poleward of the ACC and bands of rapid warming along its northern flank (Fig. 2a). The GCMs simulate somewhat more Southern Hemispheric ocean heat storage ($0.64 \pm 0.21 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$) than is observed over this period ($0.4-0.6 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$; Supplementary Information), possibly due to model deficiencies or to observational biases introduced by infilling data-sparse regions of the ocean^{26,30}. Yet, they robustly capture the patterns of heat storage, with substantial warming in the vicinity of the ACC and less warming to the south (Figs. 2c,e). Moreover, the spatial pattern of SHF trends broadly opposes the pattern of SST trends (Figs. 2a,b), with local turbulent heat flux trends reaching several Wm⁻²/decade – an order of magnitude larger than radiative forcing trends over this period.

The region of delayed SO warming, poleward of 50°S, accounts for $60 \pm 10\%$ of hemispheric surface heat uptake, but only $23 \pm 6\%$ of hemispheric heat storage (Fig. 2c). That is, less than one third of the anomalous heat taken up at the surface is stored locally; the majority ($68 \pm$ 11%) is transported northward, as seen by the robust increase in northward OHT across the ACC (Fig. 2d; Methods). Meanwhile, less than half of the heat stored on the equatorward flank of the ACC ($40-50^{\circ}$ S) is derived from local surface heat uptake; the rest is due to convergence of heat by the ocean. These patterns are broadly consistent with previous modeling studies^{32–34} and the observations (Fig. 1). From an energetics perspective, then, delayed SO warming is primarily driven by increased northward OHT across the ACC, and enhanced warming in the vicinity of the ACC is driven, in large part, by oceanic heat flux convergence.

A key question is, what dynamics give rise to these OHT changes? Within and to the south of the ACC, wind-driven gyres contribute little to meridional OHT, and thus we can make the approximation³⁵: OHT $\simeq \rho c_p \psi_{res} \Delta T + R$, where $\psi_{res} = \overline{\psi} + \psi^*$ is the strength of the residualmean MOC; ΔT is the vertical temperature difference between northward and southward flowing branches of the MOC; ρ and c_p are the density and specific heat of sea water, respectively; and R represents diffusion of heat along isopycnal surfaces. For visual guidance, we calculate ψ_{res} from NCAR's CCSM4 (Methods). As in the observations, there is a striking similarity between this background residual-mean MOC and the pattern of ocean warming (Fig. 2e). Moreover, OHT changes arise almost entirely from anomalous advection of heat by the residual-mean circulation; changes in the isopycnal diffusion of heat are relatively small (Fig. 2d).

To further reveal the dynamics underlying these advective OHT changes, we consider a series of idealized GCM simulations aimed at removing the influence of particular climate processes. We first examine the long-term response of the CMIP5 GCMs to GHG forcing alone – at a century following an abrupt quadrupling of CO_2 (Figs. 2f-j). While westerly wind changes in these simulations may initially act to cool the SO by advecting cold surface waters northward^{15–17}, they ultimately drive enhanced warming south of the ACC (after several years to several decades) as poleward eddy heat fluxes increase^{17,36} and relatively warm waters at depth are upwelled at a greater rate^{6,36–39}. That is, wind changes do not contribute to delayed SO warming at the centennial timescale considered here. Yet, the patterns and mechanisms of SO changes under GHG forcing are remarkably similar to those over the historical period: warming is damped poleward of the ACC and enhanced within zonal bands along its northern flank (Fig. 2f); and while the region poleward of 50°S accounts for nearly all (95 \pm 21%) of the hemispheric heat uptake over the century (Fig. 2h), the majority (67 \pm 5%) of this heat is advected northward by residual-mean currents and converged equatorward of the ACC (Fig. 2i). This suggests that although atmospheric circulation changes may partially account⁶ for differences between the historical simulations (Figs. 2a-e) and observations (Fig. 1), they do not play a critical role in delayed SO warming. Instead, delayed SO warming – driven by anomalous northward OHT – appears to be a fundamental ocean response to GHG forcing.

Delayed Southern Ocean warming in an ocean-only model

We can further clarify the dynamics of delayed SO warming by simulating GHG forcing within an ocean-only GCM. In particular, we simulate the global ocean with the MITgcm^{40,41}, and produce a climate change scenario by applying a constant radiative forcing of $F = 4 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ uniformly over the sea-surface (including under sea ice) – approximating the radiative effects of an abrupt doubling of CO₂ (Methods). This GHG forcing is prescribed concurrently with constant, annually-repeating sea-surface buoyancy and momentum fluxes that have been derived from a long 'control' simulation (see Methods and ref. ⁴² for details). We further specify a spatially-uniform 'radiative feedback' on SSTs anomalies (relative to the control) with value $\lambda = 1 \text{ Wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$, representing the additional energy emitted to space as the surface warms; this value is characteristic of feedbacks found within the CMIP5 GCMs and estimated from satellite observations⁴³. Equilibrium would

thus be reached when the global-mean SST increases by $F/\lambda = 4$ K, such that the global radiative response balances the radiative forcing. However, the magnitude of warming need not be the same everywhere. Importantly, because F and λ are geographically uniform, and all other sea-surface fluxes are held fixed at their control values, any spatial structure in the response can be wholly attributed to oceanic processes.

This ocean-only framework thus mimics GHG-induced warming under the idealizations that: (i) there is no change in atmospheric heat transport; (ii) radiative forcing and feedbacks are spatially uniform; and (iii) there are no changes in surface winds or freshwater fluxes. Remarkably, the ocean-only GCM captures the principle features of Figs. 1 and 2, including delayed warming poleward of the ACC and enhanced warming within zonal bands along its northern flank (Figs. 3a,e). Moreover, the mechanism shaping the SO response is the same: the majority (73%) of the heat taken up poleward of 50°S is advected northward by residual-mean currents and converged equatorward of the ACC (Figs. 3c,d). Delayed SO warming is thus a general feature of the ocean's response to GHG forcing – independent of geographic variations in radiative forcing or feedbacks, trends in atmospheric circulation, or changes in freshwater fluxes.

What role do ocean circulation changes play in delayed SO warming? To address this question, we consider the response of the ocean-only GCM to a passive, dye-like tracer applied at the sea-surface (similar in sprit to refs. ^{13,44}). The simulation is designed to be analogous to the GHGforcing scenario above, except that ocean circulation is unchanged and the tracer is advected and mixed from the surface only by climatological ocean processes (Methods). Directly comparing the passive-tracer response (Figs. 3f-j) to the GHG-induced response (Figs. 3a-e) reveals the role of ocean circulation changes.

The passive tracer simulation captures the broad features of the SO's response to GHG forcing, with delayed SO warming arising from the advection of the anomalous warming signal by climatological ocean currents. That is, OHT changes can be largely understood as a change in the vertical temperature profile (Figs. 3e,j) on which the climatological residual-mean MOC acts $(\psi_{res}\Delta T')$: greater warming near the surface (where the flow is northward) than at depth (where the flow is southward) results in anomalous northward OHT that nearly balances anomalous surface heat uptake south of the ACC; in turn, warming is damped south of the ACC and enhanced to the north (Figs. 3d,i). Equivalently, delayed SO warming can be viewed as arising from the equatorward transport of surface waters that have been exposed to GHG forcing, while deep waters that have not yet been modified by GHG forcing are upwelled in their place (Figs. 3e,j).

Two notable differences between the GHG and passive tracer simulations are the depth over which anomalous heat is stored in the ocean (Figs. 3e,j and Supplementary Fig. S10) and the structure of warming near the ACC (Figs. 3a,f). Both can be linked to enhanced stratification of the upper ocean under GHG-induced heat uptake (absent under passive-tracer uptake). The zonal bands of warming within subduction regions north of the ACC are driven by a shoaling of winter mixed layers under warming (Supplementary Fig. S11), leading to a reduction in mode water formation⁴⁵ and enhanced heat storage near the surface. These findings suggest that rapid warming and sea-level rise along the northern flank of the ACC do not require a wind-driven shift

of ocean fronts, as has been assumed 16,17,26,32 but not observed 46,47 , and may instead be due to a convergence of heat that was taken up south of the ACC.

Additional ocean-only simulations show that surface westerly changes drive SO cooling on sub-decadal timescales, but ultimately enhance SO warming after several decades (Supplementary Fig. S12 and 14). Moreover, freshwater forcing simulations suggest that changes in the hydrologic cycle produce only modest cooling south of the ACC (Supplementary Fig. S13 and 14). These results are consistent with the results of wind^{6,36–39} and freshwater^{48,49} forcing simulations with coupled GCMs.

Observations and a hierarchy of GCM simulations suggest that delayed warming of the SO, poleward of the ACC, is a fundamental consequence of circumpolar upwelling and equatorward transport of surface waters by the SO's climatological residual-mean MOC. Spatial variations in mixed layer depths, patterns of radiative forcing and feedbacks, changes in the hydrologic cycle, and changes in atmospheric and oceanic circulations all appear to play a secondary role in shaping the SO response to GHG-induced warming. These results suggest that while ocean heat uptake curbs surface warming at the global scale, the slow pace of warming in the SO is instead due to meridional OHT changes driven by regional ocean circulations; in turn, heat uptake peaks in the SO because the sea-surface warms slowly relative to the overlying atmosphere.

These findings further suggest that warming of the SO surface is set by the time it takes for deep ocean waters – originating in the North Atlantic Ocean and ultimately upwelled to the SO surface²⁰ – to be warmed themselves. This implies a timescale of multiple centuries for the SO

to respond to GHG forcing, consistent with the slow pace of SO warming seen in observations and GCM simulations^{3,4,7}. While these results do not explain the observed cooling of the SO over the most recent few decades (Fig. 1a), they suggest that this trend, and its driving mechanisms, must be understood against a background of gradual GHG-induced warming – instead of the rapid warming observed in the Arctic.

Methods

Observations. SST trends since 1950 are calculated from NOAA's Extended Reconstructed Sea Surface Temperature version 3b (ref. ⁵⁰) as linear trends over 1950-2012. SST trends stated in the text are calculated poleward of 50°S (the approximate area south of the ACC), between 50°S and 40°S (the area just north of the ACC), and over the global ocean. Linear SST trends over 1982-2012 (Fig. 1a) are calculated from NOAA's Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature version 2 (ref. ²²). Linear SHF trends (Fig. 1b) are calculated from the Objectively Analyzed airsea Flux for the Global Oceans Project²³ data set (see Supplementary Information for a comparison to other observational data sets). Ocean potential temperature trends and heat storage over 1982-2012 and climatological ocean salinity over 1950-2012 (Fig. 1c,d) are calculated using the Met Office Hadley Centre's EN4 version 1.1 (ref. ²⁴). The EN4 temperature and heat storage trends are consistent with those calculated from the Ishii subsurface temperature data set²⁵ (Fig. 1c), ocean reanalyses, and satellite altimetry observations of sea-level rise (Supplementary Information).

CMIP5 simulations. Figs. 2a-e show CMIP5 'Historical' (1982-2005) simulations and their continuation under RCP8.5 (2006-2012). The simulations are driven with historical changes in wellmixed GHGs, aerosols, and stratospheric ozone depletion. Linear trends over 1982-2012 are calculated for SST, SHF and ocean potential temperature, while ocean heat uptake is calculated as the integrated SHF over 1982-2012; anomalous ocean heat storage and OHT are averages over 1982-2012 (see below). Figs. 2f-j show CMIP5 simulations of abrupt quadrupling of atmospheric CO₂ above pre-industrial levels. Anomalous SST, SHF, ocean potential temperature and heat storage are calculated from 31-year means centered at 100 years after CO2 quadrupling, while ocean heat uptake is calculated as the integrated SHF over the 100 years; anomalous OHT is an average over the 100 years (see below). To account for model drift, we remove the linear trend over the corresponding years of each model's pre-industrial control simulations from all variables for both the historical and CO_2 quadrupling simulations. We include all models (12 in total) that provide output for the net sea-surface heat flux (below sea ice), which is necessary to accurately calculate ocean heat uptake and OHT anomalies: ACCESS1-0, bcc-csm1-1, CCSM4, CMCC-CM, CNRM-CM5, CSIRO-Mk3-6-0, EC-EARTH, GFDL-ESM2G, MIROC5, MPI-ESM-LR, MRI-CGCM3, and NorESM1-M. The CMIP5 data were downloaded through the Program for Climate Model Diagnostics and Intercomparison's Earth System Grid (http://cmip-pcmdi.llnl.gov/cmip5/data_portal.html)

We calculate the anomalous OHT for the CMIP5 models as a residual between the integrated SHF anomaly and ocean heat storage. Uncertainty ranges stated in the text and shown in Figs. 2c,d,h,i are \pm 1 standard deviation across the models. The standard diagnostic for the mass overturning streamfunction in the CMIP5 models is calculated on depth coordinates, and is thus biased by tilting gyre circulations. To remove these gyre effects, we calculate the residual-mean MOC on isopycnal surfaces and then remap to depth coordinates within a climate model for which we had the necessary output (the National Center for Atmospheric Research's CCSM4; shown in Figs. 2e,j). For consistency, we show CCSM4's total, residual-mean advection, and diffusive OHT components (black lines on Figs. 2d,i).

Ocean-only GCM simulation. The MITgcm is configured with a hybrid latitude-longitude and cubed sphere configuration, realistic bathymetry, 1° horizontal resolution and 50 vertical levels. The eddy diffusivity is set equal to a constant value of 850 $m^2 s^{-1}$, and diapycnal mixing is set to a constant value of 10^{-5} m²s⁻¹. The model is initialized with climatological ocean temperature and salinity data⁵¹, and driven with a repeating annual cycle of atmospheric forcing from the Coordinated Ocean-ice Reference Experiment (CORE) 1 protocol⁵² for a 'spin-up' period of 310 years prior to the climate forcing simulations. Additional model diagnostics and simulation details can be found in ref.⁴². Over this 'spin up' integration, net air-sea fluxes are computed via bulk formulae; for stability, surface salinity is restored on a timescale of 250 days. Once steady-state is achieved, we store all sea-surface buoyancy and momentum fluxes at daily resolution. We then drive the model again by prescribing these stored, steady-state and annually-repeating fluxes (now without bulk formulae or salinity restoring), thus producing the 'control' integration against which all climate change simulations are compared. Climate forcings are applied concurrently with these stored fluxes and with a spatially-uniform 'radiative feedback' on SSTs anomalies (relative to the control), as described in the main text. The SST below sea ice evolves according to these same boundary conditions, and is thus able to go above the freezing point. Because this framework does not capture increased poleward atmospheric heat transport with global warming, surface heat uptake over the SO (Fig. 3b) is limited by the 4 Wm^{-2} radiative forcing we have applied and is thus smaller in magnitude than that simulated by the CMIP5 GCMs (Fig. 2g).

Anomalous SST, SHF, ocean potential temperature and heat storage are calculated at 100 years after CO_2 forcing, while ocean heat uptake is calculated as the integrated SHF over the 100 years; anomalous OHT is an average over the 100 years. We calculate the residual-mean MOC for the MITgcm on isopycnal surfaces and then remap to depth coordinates. The MOC shown in Figs. 3e,j is from the MITgcm control simulation; anomalies in the MOC under GHG and westerly wind forcing are shown in Supplementary Figs. S10 and S12, respectively.

For the passive tracer simulation, the tracer has units of temperature but does not affect ocean circulation in any way. The passive tracer is initialized to the control ocean temperature distribution, and is forced and damped uniformly at the sea-surface with magnitudes $F = 4 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ and $\lambda = 1 \text{ Wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$, respectively, just as in the GHG-forcing scenario.

Code availability. The MITgcm source code can by accessed at http://mitgcm.org/.

- 1. Manabe, S., Bryan K. & Spelman, M.J. Transient response of a global ocean-atmosphere model to a doubling of atmospheric carbon dioxide. *J. Phys. Oceangr.* **20**, 722–749 (1990).
- Manabe, S., Stouffer, R.J., Spelman, M.J. & Bryan, K. Transient responses of a coupled oceanatmosphere model to gradual changes of atmospheric CO₂. Part 1: Annual mean response. *J. Clim* 4, 785–818 (1991).
- 3. Stouffer, R.J. Timescales of climate response. J. Clim. 17, 209-217 (2004).

- Li, C., von Storch, J.-S. & Marotzke, J. Deep-ocean heat uptake and equilibrium climate response. *Clim. Dyn.* doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1350-z (2012).
- 5. Collins, M., *et. al.* Long-term Climate Change: Projections, Commitments and Irreversibility. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA (2013).
- 6. Marshall, J., *et. al.* The ocean's role in polar climate change: asymmetric Arctic and Antarctic responses to greenhouse gas and ozone forcing. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **372**, 20130040 (2014).
- 7. Masson-Delmotte, V., *et al.* Information from Paleoclimate Archives. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., *et. al.* (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA (2013).
- Xie, S.-P. *et al.* Global Warming Pattern Formation: Sea Surface Temperature and Rainfall. J. *Climate* 23, 966–986 (2010).
- 9. Yin, J. *et al.* Different magnitudes of projected subsurface ocean warming around Greenland and Antarctica. *Nature Geosci.* **4**, 524–528 (2011).
- Gent, P.R. The Gent-McWilliams parameterization: 20/20 hindsight. Ocean Modell. 39, 2–9 (2011).

- Salée, J.-B., *et. al.* Assessment of Southern Ocean mixed-layer depths in CMIP5 models: Historical bias and forcing response. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 118, 1845–1862 (2013).
- Kirkman, C.H. & Bitz, C.M. The effect of the sea ice freshwater flux on Southern Ocean temperatures in CCSM3: deep-ocean warming and delayed surface warming. *J. Clim.* 24, 2224– 2237 (2011).
- 13. Xie, P. & Vallis, G.K. The passive and active nature of ocean heat uptake in idealized climate change experiments. *Clim. Dyn.* doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1063-8 (2011).
- Bintanja, R., van Oldenborgh, G.J., Drijfhout, S.S., Wouters, B. & Katsman, C.A. Important role for ocean warming and increased ice-shelf melt in Antarctic sea-ice expansion. *Nature Geosci.* 6, 376–379 (2013).
- 15. Thompson, D.W., *et. al.* Signatures of the Antarctic ozone hole in Southern Hemisphere surface climate change. *Nature Geosci.* **4**, 741–749 (2011).
- Oke, P.R. & England, M.H. Oceanic response to changes in the latitude of the Southern Hemisphere subpolar westerly winds. *J. Clim.* 17, 1040–1054 (2004).
- 17. Fyfe, J.C., Saenko, O.A., Zickfeld, K., Eby, M. & Weaver, A.J. The role of polewardintensifying Winds on Southern Ocean warming. *J. Clim.* **20**, 5391–5400 (2007).
- Hutchinson, D.K., England, M.H., Santoso, A. & Hogg, A.M. Interhemispheric asymmetry in transient global warming: The role of Drake Passage. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 40, 1587–1593 (2013).

- 19. Korhonen, H., *et. al.* Aerosol climate feedback due to decadal increases in Southern Hemisphere wind speeds. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **37**, L02805 (2010).
- Marshall, J. & Speer, K. Closure of the meridional overturning circulation through Southern Ocean upwelling. *Nature Geosci.* 5, 171–180 (2012).
- Karsten, R.H. & Marshall, J. Constructing the residual circulation of the ACC from observations. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 32, 3315–3327 (2002).
- 22. Reynolds, R.W., Rayner, N.A., Smith, T.M., Stokes, D.C. & Wang, W. An improved in situ and satellite SST analysis for climate. *J. Clim.* **15**, 1609–1625 (2002).
- Yu, L. & Weller, R.A. Objectively analyzed air-sea heat fluxes for the global ice-free oceans (1981–2005). *Bull. Ameri. Meteor. Soc.* 88, 527–539 (2007).
- Good, S.A., Martin, M.J. & Rayner, N.A. EN4: quality controlled ocean temperature and salinity profiles and monthly objective analyses with uncertainty estimates. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 118, 6704–6716 (2013).
- 25. Ishii, M. & Kimoto, M. Reevaluation of historical ocean heat content variations with timevarying XBT and MBT depth bias corrections. *J. Oceanography*, **65(3)**, 287–299 (2009).
- 26. Gille, S.T. Decadal-scale temperature trends in the southern hemisphere ocean. *J. Clim.* **21**, 4749–4765 (2008).
- 27. Rhein, M., *et. al.* Observations: Ocean. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel

on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., *et al.* (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA (2013).

- 28. Church, J.A., *et. al.* Ocean temperature and salinity contributions to global and regional sea level change. In: Church, J.A., Woodworth, P.L., Aarup T., & Wilson, W.S. (eds) Understanding sea level rise and variability. Blackwell, New York, pp 143–176 (2010).
- 29. Sutton, P., & Roemmich, D. Decadal steric and sea surface height changes in the Southern Hemisphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **38**, L08604 (2011).
- 30. Durack, P.J., Gleckler, P.J., Landerer, F.W. & Taylor, K.E. Quantifying underestimates of longterm upper-ocean warming. *Nature Climate Change* **4**, 999-1005 (2014).
- 31. Taylor, K.E., Stouffer, R.J. & Meehl, G.A. An overview of CMIP5 and the experimental design. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.* **93**, 485–498 (2012).
- 32. Cai, W., Cowan, T., Godfrey, S., & Wijffels, S. Simulations of processes associated with the fast warming rate of the southern midlatitude ocean. *J. Clim.* **23**, 197–206 (2010).
- 33. Kuhlbrodt, T. & Gregory, J.M. Ocean heat uptake and its consequences for the magnitude of sea level rise and climate change. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39**, L18608 (2012).
- 34. Frölicher, T.L., *et. al.* Dominance of the Southern Ocean in anthropogenic carbon and heat uptake in CMIP5 models. *J. Clim.* **28**, 862–886 (2015).
- 35. Ferrari, R. & Ferreira, D. What processes drive the ocean heat transport? *Ocean Model.*, **38**, 171–186 (2011).

- Screen, J.A., Gillett, N.P., Stevens, D.P., Marshall, G.J. & Roscoe H.K. The role of eddies in the Southern Ocean temperature response to the southern annular mode. *J. Clim.* 22, 806–818 (2009).
- 37. Bitz, C.M. & Polvani, L.M. Antarctic climate response to stratospheric ozone depletion in a fine resolution ocean climate model. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39**, L20705 (2012).
- 38. Ferreira, D., Marshall, J., Bitz, C.M, Solomon S. & Plumb, A. Antarctic ocean and sea ice response to ozone depletion: a two timescale problem. *J Clim.* **28**, 1206–1226 (2014).
- Sigmond, M. & Fyfe, J.C. The Antarctic sea ice response to the ozone hole in climate models.
 J. Clim. 27, 1336–1342 (2014).
- 40. Marshall, J., Hill, C., Perelman, L., & Adcroft, A. Hydrostatic, quasi-hydrostatic, and nonhydrostatic ocean modeling. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, **102**, 5733–5752 (1997).
- Marshall, J., Adcroft, A., Hill, C., Perelman, L., & Heisey, C. A finite-volume, incompressible Navier Stokes model for studies of the ocean on parallel computers. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 102, 5753–5766 (1997).
- 42. Marshall, J., *et. al.* The ocean's role in the transient response of climate to abrupt greenhouse gas forcing. *Clim. Dyn.* doi: 10.1007/s00382-014-2308-0 (2014).
- Donohoe, A., Armour, K.C., Pendergrass, A.G. & Battisti, D.S. Shortwave and longwave radiative contributions to global warming under increasing CO₂. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 111, 16700–16705 (2014).

- 44. Banks, H.T. & Gregory, J.M. Mechanisms of ocean heat uptake in a coupled climate model and the implications for tracer based predictions of ocean heat uptake. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 33, L07608 (2006).
- 45. Downes, S.M., Bindoff, N.L. & Rintoul, S.R. Impacts of climate change on the subduction of mode and intermediate water masses in the Southern Ocean. *J. Clim.* **22**, 3289–3302 (2009).
- 46. Böning, C.W., Dispert, A., Visbeck, M., Rintoul, S.R. & Schwarzkopf, F.U. The response of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current to recent climate change. *Nature Geosci.* **1**, 864–869 (2008).
- 47. Gille, S.T. Meridional displacement of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.*A. **372** 20130273 (2014).
- 48. Swart, N.C. & Fyfe, J.C. The influence of recent Antarctic ice sheet retreat on simulated sea ice area trends. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **40**, 4328–4332 (2013).
- 49. Pauling, A.G., Bitz, C.M., Smith I.J. & Langhorne, P. J. The response of the Southern Ocean and Antarctic sea ice to fresh water from ice shelves in an Earth System Model. *J. Clim.*, in press (2016).
- Smith, T.M., Reynolds, R.W., Peterson, T.C. & Lawrimore, J. Improvements to NOAA's Historical Merged Land–Ocean Temp Analysis (1880-2006). J. Clim. 21, 2283–2296 (2008).
- 51. Steele M., Morley R. & Ermold W. PHC: a global ocean hydrography with a high quality Arctic Ocean. *J. Clim.* **14**, 2079–2087 (2001).

Griffies, S. *et. al.* Coordinated Ocean-ice Reference Experiments (COREs). *Ocean Model.* 26, 1–46 (2009).

Correspondence Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to KCA (email: karmour@uw.edu).

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful for enlightening feedback from R. Abernathey, C. Bitz, C. Deser, S. Emerson, L.-P. Nadeau, L. Polvani, G. Roe, P. Rhines, L. Thompson and L. Zanna; and for technical help from J.-M. Campin and G. Forget.

Author Contributions KCA performed the analyses and JRS performed the ocean-only simulations. All authors contributed to the design of the study, interpretation of the results and writing of the manuscript.

Competing Interests The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.



Figure 1: **Observed trends over 1982-2012. a**, Annual-mean sea-surface temperature trend; **b**, Net sea-surface heat flux trend (positive into ocean); **c**, Zonally and depth integrated ocean heat content trends from two different subsurface temperature data sets: EN4 (solid; ref. 26) and Ishii (dashed; ref. 27); **d**, Zonal-mean ocean potential temperature trend from EN4, with contours of climatological ocean salinity in intervals of 0.15 psu (grey lines). Arrows indicate the orientation of the residual-mean MOC following ref. ²¹, along 34.4 and 34.7 psu contours (black lines). Grey line in **a** and **b** shows maximum winter sea-ice extent from ref. ²³.



Figure 2: **CMIP5-mean trends over 1982-2012 (left) and response to CO**₂ forcing (right). **a**, Annual-mean sea-surface temperature trend; **b**, Net sea-surface heat flux trend (positive into ocean); **c**, Zonally integrated average sea-surface heat flux (blue) and full-depth ocean heat content trend (red); **d**, Anomalous OHT for CMIP5-mean (blue) and CCSM4 (black; solid, dashed and dotted lines show total, residual-mean advection, and diffusion, respectively); **e**, Zonal-mean ocean potential temperature trend, with contours showing the MOC from CCSM4 (black contours show positive circulation in 4 Sv increments, gray contours show negative circulation in -4 Sv increments); **f-j**, As in **a-e**, but anomalies over 100 yrs in response to abrupt CO₂ quadrupling. Grey line in **a**, **b**, **f** and **g** shows maximum winter sea-ice extent, as in Fig. 1. Shading in **c**, **d**, **h** and **i** shows the \pm 1 standard deviation range across the CMIP5 models; these ranges are broader than those from internal variability alone (Supplementary Fig. S9).

MITgcm: anomalies 100 yrs after 4 Wm⁻² forcing MITgcm: anomalies 100 yrs after 4 Wm⁻² passive forcing



Figure 3: **MITgcm response to GHG forcing (left) and passive tracer forcing (right). a,** Annual-mean sea-surface temperature anomaly; **b,** Net sea-surface heat flux anomaly (positive into ocean); **c,** Zonally integrated average sea-surface heat flux anomaly (blue) and full-depth ocean heat content anomaly (red); **d,** Anomalous OHT (solid, dashed and dotted lines show total, residual-mean advection, and diffusion, respectively); **e,** Zonal-mean ocean potential temperature anomaly, with contours showing the MOC from the control simulation (black contours show positive circulation in 2 Sv increments, gray contours show negative circulation in -4 Sv increments); **f-j,** As in **a-e**, but for the passive tracer simulation. Grey line in **a** and **b** shows maximum winter 25

Supplementary Information for 'Southern Ocean warming delayed by circumpolar upwelling and equatorward transport'

Kyle C. Armour¹, John Marshall², Jeffery R. Scott², Aaron Donohoe³ and Emily R. Newsom⁴

¹ School of Oceanography and Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seatte, WA 98195

² Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139

³ Polar Science Center, Applied Physics Laboratory, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

⁴ Department of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

This document contains the following supplementary material:

(i) Observed Southern Ocean sea-surface temperature trends over 1950-2012 (Fig. S1).

(ii) Additional details of the ocean temperature and heat content trend analysis reported in the main text; a comparison of results from different choices of ocean temperature bias corrections and subsurface temperature data sets; a comparison of thermosteric sea-level trends derived from observed ocean warming to those measured by satellite altimetry; and a comparison of results from different ocean reanalyses (Figs. S2-S4).

(iii) Additional details of the sea-surface heat flux analysis reported in the main text; a discussion of the relationship between surface heat flux trends and changing air-sea temperature gradients; and a comparison of results from different surface heat flux data sets (Figs. S5-S7).

(iv) An analysis of internal variability in the CMIP5 pre-industrial control simulations (Figs. S8-S9).

(v) Additional information about and supplementary results of the ocean-only MITgcm simulations (Figs. S10-S14).

(i) Southern Ocean sea-surface temperature trend over 1950-2012

Figure S1 shows the 1950-2012 linear sea-surface temperature (SST) trend calculated from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) Extended Reconstruction Sea-Surface Temperature (ERSST) data set¹. Over this period, global SST increased at 0.08° /decade, while south of 50°S increased by only 0.02° /decade.



Figure S1. Observed trends in annual mean sea-surface temperature over 1950-2012. Calculated from NOAA's ERSST data set. Grey lines show maximum winter sea-ice extent, as in Fig. 1 of the main text.

S - 2

(ii) Southern Hemispheric ocean potential temperature and heat content trends

Comparison of different observational data sets. The depth-integrated heat storage trends shown in the main text (Fig. 1d) are derived from two objectively-analyzed subsurface temperature data sets. The first is the Met Office Hadley Centre's Objectively Analyzed EN4 (version 1.1) data set², shown again here in Fig. S2. Ocean temperature corrections have been made by ref. 2 to adjust for time-varying biases in mechanical bathythermograph (MBT) and expendable bathythermograph (XBT) profiles following the methods of Gourestki and Reseghetti (2010) (ref. 3); we hereafter refers to this data set as EN4 (G). We calculate the Southern Hemispheric (SH) mean ocean heat storage as a linear trend in total hemispheric heat content over 1982-2012, giving 0.57 Wm⁻² for EN4 (G).

For comparison, ref. 2 also provides objectively analyzed ocean temperatures that have been derived following the bias correction methods of Levitus et al (2009) (ref. 4); we hereafter refer to this data set as EN4 (L). Results using EN4 (L) and EN4 (G) are visually indistinguishable (Fig. S2c). The SH mean heat storage over 1982-2012 is 0.56 Wm⁻² for EN4 (L). For this reason, we only show EN4 (G) in the main text.

The second subsurface temperature data set shown in the main text (Fig. 1c) is that of Ishii et al. (2009) (ref. 5). While Ishii et al. only provide temperature fields to a depth of 1500 m, the zonal-mean ocean potential temperature and heat storage trends are in good agreement with those of EN4 (G), with maximum warming in the vicinity of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) and less warming to the south (Fig. S2). The SH mean ocean heat storage averaged over 1982-2012 is 0.41 Wm⁻² for the Ishii data set. The SH heat storage trend results for the observational products are summarized in Table 1.

Comparison of EN4 to satellite altimetry measurements of sea-surface height trends. It is perhaps not surprising that the EN4 and Ishii data sets produce such similar patterns of ocean warming, given that they are derived from a similar set of subsurface ocean temperature measurements. One limitation of these data sets is that observations were sparse throughout the SO prior to the advent of the network of ARGO profiling floats in the early 2000s – particularly south of the ACC and below 1500 m. However, an independent evaluation of the observed ocean warming trends can be achieved using satellite altimetry measurements of sea-surface height changes changes. Sea-surface height (SSH) trends over 1993-2012 from the AVISO altimetry product (http://www.aviso.altimetry.fr/) is shown in Figs. S3b,c. Note that all SSH trends are calculated here as anomalies from the global mean trend, thus removing trends in global ocean mass and allowing for a comparison between satellite observations of SSH and the SSH changes implied by ocean warming. We calculate the patterns of thermosteric SSH trends from the EN4 (G) ocean temperature trends over 1993-2012 as

$$\frac{d\text{SSH}}{dt} = \int_{\text{bottom}}^{\text{surface}} \alpha(z) \frac{d\theta(z)}{dt} dz,$$
(1)

where α is the thermal expansion of sea water calculated from to the Gibbs Seawater Oceanographic Toolbox (ref. 6), and θ is ocean potential temperature. There are some differences between the regional patterns of SSH trends estimated from EN4 (G) and measured from AVISO, likely owing to halosteric and mass redistribution effects not included in our EN4 (G) estimate. However, they show broad agreement with one another, and particularly in the zonal mean, with the highest rates of SSH increase in the vicinity of the ACC and the lowest rates to the south of the ACC (Fig. S3). These results are consistent with refs. 7-9 that find that patterns of sea-level rise in the Southern Hemisphere can be largely attributed to thermosteric effects associated with ocean heat uptake and storage.

Observations: trends in ocean temperature and heat content



Figure S2. Observed trends in annual-mean depthintegrated ocean heat content and zonal mean ocean potential temperature over 1982-2012. a, EN4 (G) depth-integrated heat content trend; b, Ishii depth-integrated heat content trend; c, Zonally and depth integrated heat content trends; d, EN4 (G) zonal-mean ocean potential temperature trend; e, Ishii zonalmean ocean potential temperature trend. Grey lines show maximum winter sea-ice extent, as in Fig. 1 of the main text.

Comparison of EN4 to ocean reanalyses. To further assess the plausible range of SO temperature and heat content trends, we can compare the EN4 (G) observations to the results of several different ocean reanalyses, which assimilate ocean temperature and satellite altimetry data to produce dynamically consistent estimates of historical ocean changes. In particular, we consider: the CMCC Global Ocean Physical Reanalysis System (C-GLORS; ref. 10); the ECMWF Ocean Reanalysis System 4 (ORAS4; ref. 11); the Simple Ocean Data Assimilation (SODA; ref. 12); and the University of Hamburg contribution of the Estimating the Circulation and Climate of the Ocean project (GECCO2; ref. 13).

There is a broad range of simulated warming trends across the ocean reanalyses (Fig. S4), with several reanalyses (C-GLORS, ORAS4, SODA) showing less warming than EN4 (G), or even cooling, south of the ACC, and with one reanalysis (GECCO2) showing more warming than EN4 (G) near Antarctica. Yet, every ocean reanalysis shows maximum warming and depth-integrated heat storage in the vicinity of the ACC. This pattern of maximum heat storage within the vicinity of the ACC, and reduced heat storage to the south, is thus robust across ocean temperature data sets, satellite altimetry observations of SSH trends, ocean reanalyses, and the CMIP5 historical simulation results reported in the main text.



Figure S3. Annual mean sea-surface height trends over 1993-2012. a, Thermosteric sea-surface height trend derived from EN4 (G); b, Sea-surface height trend measured from AVISO satellite altimetry. Each are shown as anomalies from global mean trends. Grey lines show maximum winter sea-ice extent, as in Fig. 1 of the main text.

We further calculate SH mean ocean heat content trends over 1982-2012 for each of the ocean reanalysis products (Table 1). They show generally good agreement with the heat storage calculated observational data sets described above. Taken altogether, the observations and ocean reanalyses suggest a SH heat storage of about 0.4-0.6 Wm^{-2} over 1982-2012, which is the range that we report in the main text.

Observational/Reanalysis Product	SH heat storage (Wm ⁻²)
EN4 (G)	0.57
EN4 (L)	0.56
Ishii	0.41
C-GLORS	0.26
ORAS4	0.48
SODA	0.63
GECCO2	0.53

Table 1. Southern Hemisphere mean heat content trends over 1982-2012.



Ocean reanalyses: trends in ocean temperature and heat content

Figure S4. Trends in depth-integrated ocean heat content and zonal mean ocean potential temperature from ocean reanalyses a-d, Depth-integrated heat content trends; e, Zonally and depth integrated heat content trends; f-i, Zonal-mean of ocean potential temperature trends. Grey lines show maximum winter sea-ice extent, as in Fig. 1 of the main text.

(iii) Sea-surface heat flux trends

Radiative and turbulent components of the net surface heat flux trend. The trend in annual-mean net sea-surface heat flux (SHF) over 1982-2012 used in the main text (Fig. 1b, shown again here as Fig. S5a) is calculated from the Objectively Analyzed air-sea Flux for the Global Oceans Project (OAFlux) data set¹⁴. The net SHF trend is calculated as the sum of (i) the linear trend in sensible and latent heat flux over this period (Fig. S5b), and (ii) an estimate of the linear trend in net shortwave (SW) and longwave (LW) surface radiation based on observations from the International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP)¹⁵ (Fig. S5c). Surface SW and LW radiation from ref. 15 are only available over 1984-2009, so we take the trend over this period as an approximation of the trend over 1982-2012. Nearly all of the spatial structure in the net SHF trend comes from the turbulent (sensible and latent) heat fluxes (cf. Figs. S5a,b), and our results are not sensitive to the period used to estimate SW and LW radiation trends.

Observed surface heat flux trends



Figure S5. Observed trends in sea-surface heat flux. a, Annual-mean net sea-surface heat flux trend over 1982-2012 (positive into ocean); b, Net turbulent (sensible + latent) heat flux trend over 1982-2012; c, Net surface radiation flux (SW + LW) trend over 1984-2009. Grey lines show maximum winter sea-ice extent, as in Fig. 1 of the main text.

Relationship between turbulent heat flux trends and air-sea temperature gradient trends. The spatial pattern of SHF trends is predominantly set by trends in turbulent (sensible and latent) heat fluxes (Fig. S5). In turn, these turbulent heat fluxes reflect changes in air-sea temperature gradients. Figure S6 shows trends 2 m air temperature from the NOAA National Center for Environmental Predictions' Reanalysis-2 (NCEP2; ref. 16) over 1982-2012, compared with trends in sea-surface temperature from the Optimum Interpolation Sea-Surface Temperature (OISST; ref. 17) data set used in the main text. Note that NCEP2 and OISST are the same data sets employed by Yu et al. (ref. 14) to produce the OAFlux SHF product as well. We see that equatorward of the ACC the sea surface has warmed at a greater rate than near-surface air temperature, while

south of the ACC near-surface air temperature has warmed at a greater rate than the sea surface (Fig. S6). That is, the robust features of the SHF trends seen in Figs. 1b and S5 – with enhanced heat uptake south of the ACC and enhanced heat loss to the north – have been driven by these changing air-sea temperature gradients. SHFs thus act to damp the SST trends, which have been driven by changes in meridional ocean heat flux convergence as described in the main text.



Figure S6. Observed trends in sea-surface temperature and near-surface air temperature over 1982-2012. a, Annualmean 2 m air temperature trend from NCEP2; b, Annual-mean sea-surface temperature trend from OISST; c, Difference between 2 m air temperature and SST trends (positive when atmosphere warming faster); d, Zonal mean of 2 m air temperature and SST trends. Grey lines show maximum winter sea-ice extent, as in Fig. 1 of the main text.

Comparison of observational sea-surface heat flux data sets. Here we consider the robustness of the SHF trends over 1982-2012 (Fig. S5a). Uncertainties in surface heat fluxes are large, with the estimated monthly mean error around 5 Wm^{-2} for latent and sensible heat fluxes over the Southern Ocean¹⁴. However, the trends in surface heat fluxes reach values of

 ± 10 Wm⁻²/decade over the Southern Ocean over the period 1982-2012 (Fig. S5), and thus the surface heat flux trends are statistically significant where they show the greatest changes.

We further compare the OAFlux SHF trends over 1982-2012 to those from two other observationally-derived data sets: the National Oceanography Centre Southampton (NOCS) Version 2.0 Surface Flux data set¹⁸, an objectively analyzed data set that is derived from marine surface measurements of the International Comprehensive Ocean-Atmosphere Data Set (ICOADS¹⁹); and the Coordinated Ocean-ice Reference Experiment (CORE) 2 protocol²⁰. Figure S7 shows that there is substantial spatial differences in SHF trends across these three data sets. However, they broadly agree with one another in the zonal mean, with each product showing enhanced heat loss to the atmosphere equatorward of the ACC and enhanced heat gain poleward of the ACC (Fig. S7d), as expected from the observed trends in air-sea temperature gradients (Fig. S6). While OAFlux and NOCS do not provide estimate of SHFs in the vicinity of sea ice, CORE2 shows enhanced surface heat gain in this region (Fig. S7b), consistent with the CMIP5 historical simulations reported in the main text (Fig. 2b).





Figure S7. Observed trends in sea-surface heat flux over 1982-2012 for several different products (positive into ocean). a, OAFlux; b, CORE2; c, NOCS; and d, Zonal-mean sea-surface heat flux trends. Grey lines show maximum winter sea-ice extent, as in Fig. 1 of the main text.

(iv) Internal variability in the CMIP5 pre-industrial control simulations

Here we quantify the extent to which internal variability may be playing a role in delayed SO warming using the long pre-industrial control simulations of the same set of CMIP5 models used in the main text. Table 2 lists the models and the length of the simulations.

Model	Length of simulation (yrs)
ACCESS1-0	500
bcc-csm1-1	500
CCSM4	1051
CMCC-CM	330
CNRM-CM5	850
CSIRO-Mk3-6-0	500
EC-EARTH	452
GFDL-ESM2G	500
MIROC5	670
MPI-ESM-LR	1000
MRI-CGCM3	500
NorESM1-M	501

Table 2. CMIP5 models and length of pre-industrial control simulations.

Internal variability in delayed SO warming. To quantify the role of internal (i.e., unforced) variability in delayed SO warming over the historical period and within the CMIP5 abrupt CO_2 quadrupling simulations, we define a measure of delayed SO warming (*D*) to be the difference between the SST anomaly averaged south of 50°S and the global-mean SST anomaly. *D* has been observed¹ to change at a rate of -0.06°/decade over the 63-yr period 1950-2012 and -0.12°/decade over the 31-yr period 1982-2012, where the negative values signify that the SO has been slower to warm than the global ocean. We calculate the probability density of 63-yr and 31-yr trends in *D* within the pre-industrial control simulations of each model using a Moving Block Bootstrap method (with 10,000 trends in *D* drawn at random from each timeseries, with replacement). The results from all models are then averaged to produce a CMIP5-mean estimate of internal variability.

Figure S8a shows the internal variability in 31-yr trends in D compared to the observed and CMIP5-mean (i.e., forced) trends over 1982-2012. The observations (solid vertical line) lie outside the 95% (2.5-97.5%) confidence interval (blue shading), indicating that internal variability alone is insufficient to explain the degree of delayed SO warming observed over this period. Interestingly, the difference between the observed and CMIP5-mean trends in D (dashed vertical line) are well within the range of internal variability. Likewise, the observed 63-yr trend in D over 1950-2012 lies far outside the 95% confidence interval of internal variability in 63-yr trends within the CMIP5 control simulations (Fig. S8b). Moreover, the difference between the observed and CMIP5-mean trends in D over 1950-2012 are within the range of internal variability.

Figure S8c shows the probability density of 31-yr means in D within the pre-industrial control simulations, which can be directly compared to the 31-yr mean in D centered at year 100 following the abrupt quadrupling of CO₂ within the CMIP5 models (dashed vertical line). Altogether, we take these results as evidence that internal variability is insufficient to explain the degree of delayed SO warming in observations and CMIP5 simulations.

Internal variability in surface heat uptake, ocean heat storage, and northward ocean heat transport. Here we quantify the internal variability in zonal-mean surface heat uptake, ocean heat storage, and ocean heat transport within the CMIP5 pre-industrial control simulations. Using a Moving Block Bootstrap method (described above) we calculate each quantity over 31-yr periods for comparison with the historical simulations of the CMIP5 models over 1982-2012 (Figs. 2a-e of the main text), and over 100-yr periods for comparison with the abrupt CO_2 quadrupling simulations of the CMIP5 models (Figs. 2f-j of the main text). The shading in Fig. S9 shows the 95% (2.5-97.5%) confidence range in shading about the zonal-mean heat uptake, storage and transport anomalies. Over the historical period, heat uptake south of the ACC is well

ARMOUR ET AL. (2015) :: SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Unforced variability and trends in delayed SO warming



Figure S8. Internal variability in delayed SO warming in CMIP5 pre-industrial control simulations. a, 31-yr trends; b, 63-yr trends; and c, 31-yr means. Vertical lines show the observed and CMIP5-mean values of delayed SO warming over different periods. Blue shading shows 95% (2.5-97.5%) confidence intervals.

outside the range of internal variability, while ocean heat storage is sufficiently small to be within the range of internal variability; ocean heat transport changes are statistically significant everywhere. On 100-yr timescales, internal variability in heat uptake, storage and transport are small relative to the magnitude of changes seen following following abrupt CO_2 quadrupling. In all cases, the range of variability is smaller than the uncertainty range across CMIP5 models shown in Fig. 2 of the main text, which includes a combination of internal variability and the range of model response to forcing.



Figure S9. CMIP5-mean trends over 1982-2012 (left) and response to CO_2 forcing (right). a, Zonally integrated average sea-surface heat flux (blue) and full-depth ocean heat content trend (red); b, Anomalous OHT for CMIP5-mean (blue); c,d, As in a,b, but anomalies over 100 yrs in response to abrupt CO_2 quadrupling. Shading shows the 95% (2.5-97.5%) range of internal variability across the CMIP5 models.

(v) Ocean-only MITgcm simulations

Here we present supplementary diagnostics and results of additional forcing simulations performed with the MITgcm oceanonly model.

Meridional overturning circulation (MOC) changes under GHG forcing. Figure S8 shows the anomalous MOC at 100 years into the uniform GHG forcing simulation, and the anomalous temperature difference between the GHG and passive tracer simulations at that time. Changes in circulation are small everywhere south of the ACC, reflecting the fact that the high-latitude SO is stratified by salinity and relatively insensitive to changes in temperature. Differences between the GHG and passive tracer forcing simulations are similarly small, and mainly reflect a greater amount of heat trapped near the surface due to enhanced stratification under GHG forcing (absent in the the passive tracer scenario).



Figure S10. MITgcm MOC response to abrupt GHG forcing and temperature difference between GHG and passive tracer forcing scenarios. Difference in zonal-mean ocean potential temperature anomaly at year 100 between GHG and passive tracer forcing scenarios. Contours show the anomalous MOC (relative to the control) at year 100 of the GHG forcing scenario (black contours show positive circulation anomaly in 2 Sv increments, gray contours show negative circulation anomaly in -2 Sv increments).

Mixed layer response to GHG forcing. Figure S9 shows the change in wintertime (JJA) mixed layer depth over the SO (relative to the control) in the MITgcm 100 years into the uniform GHG forcing simulation. There is an overall shoaling of mixed layers in the vicinity of the mode water formation regions on the equatorward flank of the ACC, consistent with enhanced heat storage near the surface in those regions (Figs. 3a,e of the main text).

MITgcm: anomalous wintertime mixed layer depth 100 yrs after 4 Wm⁻² forcing



Figure S11. MITgcm wintertime (JJA) mixed layer depth response to abrupt GHG forcing. Mixed layer depth anomaly relative to the control at year 100 of the GHG forcing scenario described in the main text. Grey line shows maximum winter sea-ice extent, as in Fig. 1 of the main text.

MITgcm response to westerly wind forcing. Figure S12 shows the MITgcm response to an idealized, sustained westerly wind forcing. The pattern and magnitude of the applied zonal wind stress is based on a one standard deviation perturbation of the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) as calculated from the linearly detrended National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Global Ocean Assimilation System (GODAS) reanalysis²¹ over 1980-2014. To mimic the observed trends that are associated with stratospheric ozone depletion, the wind stress pattern is multiplied by a spatially uniform factor that varies seasonally, peaking in late November, but scaled so that the annual-mean value matches one standard deviation of the SAM. The resulting wind stress is applied concurrently with the stored 'control' sea-surface buoyancy and momentum fluxes and the $1 \text{ Wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$ radiative feedback as described in the main text. See ref. 22 for additional details of the simulation. The initial effect of this strengthening and poleward shift of the westerly winds is cooling south of the ACC and warming to the north, driven by substantial changes in the MOC and OHT (Fig. S12a-c). However, after several decades the response becomes warming south of the ACC as the anomalous OHT diminishes and relatively warm water is upwelled from depth (Fig. S12d-f).

MITgcm response to freshwater forcing. While long-term changes in the SO hydrologic cycle are not well constrained by observations, ref. 23 find that within the CMIP5-mean, precipitation minus evaporation (P-E) averaged south of 50°S increases by about 2595 Gt/yr from pre-industrial to present, amounting to about a 10% increase over this period. They further note that this P-E change is an order of magnitude larger than the estimated current input of freshwater from changes in the Antarctic Ice Sheet. To evaluate the response of the SO to an enhanced hydrologic cycle, we apply forcing as an abrupt and sustained P-E anomaly averaged over the surface of the SO, everywhere 50°S, amounting to an increase of about 0.15 mm/day of freshwater input. As in the other MITgcm simulations, this forcing is applied concurrently with the stored 'control' sea-surface buoyancy and momentum fluxes and the 1 $Wm^{-2}K^{-1}$ radiative feedback as described in the main text.

Figure S13 shows the MITgcm response at following the freshwater forcing. Initially there is surface cooling and warming at depth around Antarctica (Fig. S13a-d), consistent with the freshening of the sea surface inhibiting deep convection and vertical mixing, thus decreasing the upward flux of heat from warmer waters at depth^{??,??}. These anomalously fresh and cool surface waters are continuously advected equatorward by the background MOC (Fig. S13d,h) so that on multidecadal timescales the cooling and freshening signal extends northward beyond the ACC (Fig. S13e-h). This results in anomalous southward OHT across the ACC, by the same process that passive tracer and GHG forcing simulations result in anomalous northward OHT across the ACC when surface waters are warmed. The patterns seen in Fig. S13, with southward OHT across the ACC and a maximum cooling on the northern flank of the ACC, are consistent with the results of a similar freshwater forcing simulation performed within a CMIP5 GCM (ref. 23). Altogether, freshwater forcing results in only slightly more cooling south of the ACC than north of the ACC, and thus does not appear to play a primary role in delayed SO warming.



Figure S12. MITgcm response to abrupt wind forcing after 1 yr (left) and after 30 yrs (right). a, Annual-mean sea-surface temperature anomaly; b, Anomalous OHT (solid, dashed and dotted lines show total, residual-mean advection, and diffusion, respectively); c, Zonal-mean ocean potential temperature anomaly, with contours showing the anomalous MOC (relative to control; black contours show positive circulation anomaly in 2 Sv increments, gray contours show negative circulation anomaly in -2 Sv increments, and arrows show orientation of the anomalous circulation); d-f, As in a-c, but at yr 30. Grey line in a and d shows maximum winter sea-ice extent, as in Fig. 1 of the main text.



Figure S13. MITgcm response to abrupt freshwater forcing after 5 yrs (left) and after 30 yrs (right). a, Annual-mean sea-surface salinity anomaly; b, Annual-mean sea-surface temperature anomaly; c, Anomalous OHT (solid, dashed and dotted lines show total, residual-mean advection, and diffusion, respectively); d, Zonal-mean ocean potential temperature anomaly, with contours showing the MOC from the control simulation (black contours show positive circulation in 2 Sv increments, gray contours show negative circulation in -4 Sv increments); e-h, As in a-d, but at yr 30. Grey line in a,b,e,f shows maximum winter sea-ice extent, as in Fig. 1 of the main text.

Comparing the MITgcm response to individual forcings. To evaluate the role of individual forcings in delayed SO warming, we quantify the impact of each on the difference between the SST anomaly averaged south of 50° S and the global-mean SST anomaly (D, as defined above). Figure S14a shows the value of D following each abrupt forcing – GHG as in the main text, and westerly wind and freshwater as above. Westerly wind forcing drives an initial cooling of the SO (and negative D), but warming of the SO (and positive D) beyond a couple decades. Freshwater forcing drives an initial cooling of the SO (negative D), but the value of D diminishes within a few decades as the surface temperature anomaly is advected northward across the ACC. Meanwhile, GHG forcing drives a strong decrease in D as the global sea-surface warms far more quickly than the SO south of 50° S.



Figure S14. MITgcm delayed SO warming response to GHG, wind and freshwater forcing. a, Response to abrupt forcings; b, Response to linearly-ramped forcings calculated with equation (2).

We can further compare the extent to which each forcing has driven trends in D over the historical period by convolving the responses to each abrupt forcing, shown in Fig. S14a, with trends in forcing since pre-industrial. In particular, we estimate the response for each forcing as:

$$D_{conv}(t) = \int_0^t D(t-\tau) \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(\tau) d\tau,$$
(2)

where F is the prescribed forcing timeseries and we have assumed that the response to each forcing scales linearly with its magnitude.

For simplicity, we assume each forcing ramps linearly over time: we prescribe GHG forcing to increase linearly to 2.3 Wm^{-2} over 1850 to 2012, based on the best estimate of the present global-mean radiative forcing²⁴; we prescribe freshwater forcing anomaly over the SO to increase linearly to 0.15 mm/day over 1850 to 2012, based on the change in P-E in CMIP5 models²³; and we prescribe westerly wind forcing to increase linearly by one standard devotion in the SAM over 1980 to 2012, based on observed changes in SAM over the period²⁵. Figure S14b shows the delayed SO warming response (D_{conv}) to each forcing. GHG forcing produces a strong decrease in D over the historical period, with a trend of -0.07° /decade over 1950-2012; this is very similar to the observed trend in D of -0.06° /decade over 1950-2012 (Fig. S8). Wind and freshwater forcing each contribute to delayed SO warming, but at a much reduced degree relative to GHG forcing. This can be understood from the responses to each abrupt forcing (Fig. S14a) which show that only with the first few years is the magnitude of delayed SO warming induced by wind and freshwater comparable to that of GHG forcing; on decadal to centennial timescales, delayed SO warming is primarily a response to GHG forcing.

S - 16 ARMOUR ET AL. (2015) :: SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

1. Smith, T.M., Reynolds, R.W., Peterson, T.C. & Lawrimore, J. Improvements to NOAA's Historical Merged Land–Ocean Temp Analysis (1880-2006). *J. Clim.* **21**, 2283–2296 (2008).

2. Good, S.A., Martin, M.J. & Rayner, N.A. EN4: quality controlled ocean temperature and salinity profiles and monthly objective analyses with uncertainty estimates. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, **118**, 6704–6716 (2013).

3. Gouretski, V., & Reseghetti, F. On depth and temperature biases in bathythermograph data: Development of a new correction scheme based on analysis of a global ocean database. *Deep Sea Res.*, *Part I*, **57**, 812–833 (2010).

4. Levitus, S., Antonov, J.I., Boyer, T.P., Locarnini, R.A., Garcia, H.E., & Mishonov A.V. Global ocean heat content 1955-2008 in light of recently revealed instrumentation problems, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **36**, L07608 (2009).

5. Ishii, M. & Kimoto, M. Reevaluation of historical ocean heat content variations with time-varying XBT and MBT depth bias corrections. *J. Oceanography*, **65**(3), 287–299 (2009).

6. McDougall, T.J. & Barker, P.M. Getting started with TEOS-10 and the Gibbs Seawater (GSW) Oceanographic Toolbox, 28pp., SCOR/IAPSO WG127, ISBN 978-0-646-55621-5 (2011).

7. Church, J.A., *et. al.* Ocean temperature and salinity contributions to global and regional sea level change. In: Church, J.A., Woodworth, P.L., Aarup T., & Wilson, W.S. (eds) Understanding sea level rise and variability. Blackwell, New York, pp 143–176 (2010).

8. Sutton, P., & Roemmich, D. Decadal steric and sea surface height changes in the Southern Hemisphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **38**, L08604 (2011).

9. Durack, P.J., Gleckler, P.J., Landerer, F.W. & Taylor, K.E. Quantifying underestimates of long-term upper-ocean warming. *Nature Climate Change* **4**, 999–1005 (2014).

10. Storto, A., Dobricic, S., Masina, S. & Di Pietro, P. Assimilating Along-Track Altimetric Observations through Local Hydrostatic Adjustment in a Global Ocean Variational Assimilation System. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, **139**, 738–754 (2011).

11. Balmaseda, M. A., Mogensena, K. & Weaver, A. T. Evaluation of the ECMWF ocean reanalysis system ORAS4. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **139**, 1132–1161 (2013).

12. Carton, J. A. & Giese, B.S. A Reanalysis of Ocean Climate Using Simple Ocean Data Assimilation (SODA), *Mon. Weather Rev.*, **136**, 2999–3017 (2008).

13. Kohl, A. Evaluation of the GECCO2 Ocean Synthesis: Transports of Volume, Heat and Freshwater in the Atlantic. *Q. J. R. Met. Soc.*, 141(686), 166-181 (2015).

14. Yu, L., X. Jin, and R. A. Weller. Multidecade Global Flux Datasets from the Objectively Analyzed Air-sea Fluxes (OAFlux) Project: Latent and sensible heat fluxes, ocean evaporation, and related surface meteorological variables. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, OAFlux Project Technical Report. OA-2008-01, 64pp. Woods Hole. Massachusetts (2008).

15. Zhang, Y.-C., Rossow, W.B., Lacis, A.A., Oinas, V., & Mishchenko, M.I. Calculation of radiative fluxes from the surface to top of atmosphere based on ISCCP and other global data sets: Refinements of the radiative transfer model and the input data. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **109**, D19105 (2004).

16. Kanamitsu, M., et. al. NCEP-DOE AMIP-II Reanalysis (R-2). Bull. Amer. Met. Soc., 1631-1643 (2002).

17. Reynolds, R.W., Rayner, N.A., Smith, T.M., Stokes, D.C. & Wang, W. An improved in situ and satellite SST analysis for climate. *J. Clim.* **15**, 1609–1625 (2002).

18. Berry, D. I. & Kent, E. C. Air-Sea Fluxes from ICOADS: The Construction of a New Gridded Dataset with Uncertainty Estimates. *International Journal of Climatology*, **31**(7), 987–1001 (2011).

19. Woodruff, S. D., Diaz, H. F., Worley, S. J., Reynolds, R. W., & Lubker, S. J. Early ship observational data and ICOADS. *Climatic Change*, **73**, 169–194 (2005).

20. Large, W. G. & Yeager, S. G. The global climatology of an interannually varying air-sea flux data set. *Clim. Dyn.*, **33**, 341–364, doi:10.1007/s00382-008-0441-3 (2009).

21. Saha, S. et. al. The NCEP Climate Forecast System. J. Climate, 19, 3483–3517 (2006).

22. Marshall, J., *et. al.* The ocean's role in polar climate change: asymmetric Arctic and Antarctic responses to greenhouse gas and ozone forcing. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **372**, 20130040 (2014).

23. Pauling, A.G., Bitz, C.M., Smith I.J. & Langhorne, P. J. The response of the Southern Ocean and Antarctic sea ice to fresh water from ice shelves in an Earth System Model. *J. Clim.*, in press (2016).

24. Myhre, G., *et. al.* Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, *et. al.* (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA (2013).

25. Christensen, J.H., *et. al.* Climate Phenomena and their Relevance for Future Regional Climate Change. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., *et. al.* (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA (2013).